The Dark Side of Nigerianization: How National Oil Companies Undermine Human Capital Development in Niger Delta

Dr. Zuobomudor Edwin Agbana[1]; Dr. Michael Amaegberi[2]

[1]Institute of Entrepreneurship and Vicational Training, Elebele
[2]Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island

Publication Date: 2025/09/30

Abstract: Nigeria's Local Content Act (LCA, 2010) promised to convert hydrocarbon wealth into indigenous technological capacity, high-skill employment and equitable wages in the Niger Delta. A decade later, youth unemployment, skills obsolescence and labour casualization remain stubbornly high around export terminals operated by Nigerian National Petroleum Company (NNPC) Limited and its joint-venture (JV) partners. Extant scholarship measures local-content compliance in terms of contract volume rather than human-capital value-added; consequently, the mechanisms through which "Nigerianization" undermines career progression, wage growth and skill formation are under-studied. This article deploys a mixed-methods critical-realist design to fill the gap. Quantitatively, we construct a unique panel of 1 867 Niger Delta oil-field workers (2010-2021) and find that, ceteris paribus, employment under fully Nigerian-owned service contractors is associated with 34 % lower real wage growth and 41 % fewer certified training days relative to expatriate-led firms. Qualitatively, 62 semi-structured interviews with welders, geoscientists, community contractors and regulators reveal three causal pathways: (1) political-racial capture that diverts training budgets to non-technical "community liaison" roles; (2) oligopolistic subcontracting that compresses wage ladders; and (3) asset-specific investment clauses that penalize workforce upskilling. The findings challenge the human-capital optimism embedded in resource-nationalist policy and call for re-anchoring local-content metrics to wage trajectories, training intensity and unionization rights rather than nominal equity share.

Keywords: *Local Content, Nigerianization, Human Capital, Niger Delta, Oil And Gas, Wage Suppression, Skill Development.*

How to Cite: Dr. Zuobomudor Edwin Agbana; Dr. Michael Amaegberi (2025) The Dark Side of Nigerianization: How National Oil Companies Undermine Human Capital Development in Niger Delta. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(9), 2111-2117. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep796

I. INTRODUCTION

Resource nationalism in hydrocarbon-rich states is conventionally justified on the premise that domestic equity control translates into accelerated technological learning, higher-skill employment and broader welfare gains (Venables, 2016). Nigeria's Local Content Act (LCA, 2010) epitomizes this logic by mandating minimum Nigerian ownership, workforce and material inputs in every oil-field project. Section 3(1) decrees "first consideration" for indigenous service companies, while Section 28 criminalizes visa issuance to foreign technicians once a Nigerian substitute is "available." The statute has indeed expanded the share of indigenous contractors from 3 % of drilling contracts in 2002 to 54 % in 2020 (NNPC, 2021). Yet aggregate spending tells us little about the quality of jobs created or the knowledge intensity embedded in them. Human-capital theory posits that sustainable development arises when firms embed firm-specific training, transparent promotion tournaments and wage premiums that incentivize continuous upskilling (Becker, 1964; Mincer, 1974). Where these micro-foundations are absent, local-content quotas risk degenerating into low-value rent circulation that locks workers into thin learning curves and precarious employment. This article interrogates whether Nigerianization defined here as the shift from foreign-operated to Nigerian-dominated oil-service capital has generated the human-capital externalities promised by the LCA, or whether it has inadvertently institutionalized new modalities of labour casualization and wage suppression in the Niger Delta.

The Niger Delta offers a quasi-experimental setting to test these rival hypotheses. Between 2010 and 2021, the region

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep796

accounted for 97 % of Nigeria's 2.1 mb/d crude output and 76 % of federally collected oil revenue, yet hosts six of the country's ten poorest states (NBS, 2022). Paradoxically, the same decade witnessed escalating youth unemployment rising from 28 % in 2010 to 43 % in 2021 in Rivers and Bayelsa states despite cumulative local-content expenditure exceeding USD 21 billion (NDDC, 2022). This disconnects between hydrocarbon rent and human development echoes the "resource-curse" narrative, but the micro-political economy channel remains under-specified. Critical geographers argue that oil enclaves are governed by extra-territorial legal zones where multinational corporations (MNCs) externalize environmental costs onto host communities (Watts, 2004; Okonta, 2008).

However, the arrival of indigenous capital introduces a new principal-agent dilemma: domestic elites who control joint-venture cash-calls also dominate state governments and community youth associations, thereby weaponizing citizenship claims to capture procurement quotas while externalizing labour standards (Ukiwo, 2021). The outcome is a "double marginalization" in which neither MNCs nor Nigerian capital have incentives to invest in long-term workforce development because both rely on politically mediated risk management rather than productivity-enhancing innovation. Existing quantitative studies stop at correlating local-content spend with GDP growth (Adewuyi & Oyejide, 2012) or at measuring indigenous equity share (Abdulkabir et al., 2017). They do not interrogate wage trajectories, training intensity or career mobility precisely the human-capital variables that ultimately determine whether resource nationalism delivers inclusive development.

Our study makes three contributions. First, we construct the first worker-level panel dataset that links employer nationality to objective human-capital outcomes real hourly wages, certified training hours and promotion probability among 1 867 Niger Delta oil-field personnel tracked annually from 2010-2021. By exploiting the staggered entry of indigenous rig operators following the 2010 LCA, we apply a difference-in-difference strategy that nets out global oil-price shocks and field-specific productivity heterogeneity.

Second, we complement the econometric analysis with 62 semi-structured interviews across three occupational strata skilled manual (welders, fitters), semi-professional (mud-loggers, crane operators) and professional (geoscientists, petroleum engineers) to trace the causal mechanisms behind observed wage penalties and Thirdly, we engage critically with the "developmental patrimonialism" thesis which holds that centralized rent distribution can be growth enhancing where ruling elites possess "transformative intent" (Kelsall, 2013). Our findings suggest the opposite: the fusion of political and economic domination under Nigerianization has converted local-content policy into an instrument for labour surplus extraction, thereby undermining the human-capital accumulation necessary for economic diversification.

The remainder of the article is organized as follows: section two: synthesizes the theoretical literature linking local-content directives to human-capital formation and derives testable hypotheses. Section three details the mixed-methods research design and data sources. Section four presents quantitative and qualitative results, highlighting how oligopolistic subcontracting, racialized skill taxonomy and asset-specific investment clauses interact to suppress wage growth and training intensity. While section five concludes with policy implications that re-orient local-content metrics from nominal equity share to wage-ladder density, training expenditure per worker and unionization rights.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

➢ *Theory and Hypotheses*

Local-content policies (LCPs) are conventionally analyzed within the infant-industry framework: temporary protection allows domestic firms to scale the learning curve until they achieve international competitiveness (Krugman, 1987; Hausmann & Rodrik, 2003). When transposed to the petroleum sector, the argument is that indigenous contractors will gradually master complex drilling, sub-sea and geophysical services if granted preferential procurement quotas, thereby creating high-skill employment and technological spill-overs (Heum et al., 2003). Yet the infant-industry metaphor assumes competitive product markets and rule-based state agencies conditions absent in Nigeria's oil enclave. Instead, the literature on rent-seeking bureaucracy predicts that discretionary procurement quotas will be captured by politically connected entrepreneurs who maximize short-term rent extraction rather than long-term productivity growth (Tullock, 1967; Krueger, 1974). Once captured, the domestic subcontracting market becomes oligopolistic: entry depends on political patronage rather than price-quality competition, leading to mark-ups that crowd out productivity-enhancing investments such as worker training or R&D (Adewuyi & Oyejide, 2012). Consequently, the first theoretical proposition we test is that Nigerianization increases the probability of procurement capture by politically connected but technically thin firms, resulting in lower training intensity relative to foreign-operated value chains.

Human-capital theory conceptualizes training as a joint investment by employer and employee (Becker, 1964). Firm-specific training yields returns only if the employment relationship survives long enough to amortise the sunk cost, whereas general-purpose training is portable and therefore faces a hold-up problem: workers may quit after certification, appropriating the returns while firms bear the cost. MNCs resolve this dilemma through internal labour markets that bundle long tenure, transparent promotion ladders and wage premiums that rise steeply with seniority (Doeringer & Piore, 1971). These governance structures are feasible because MNCs possess brand capital that lowers monitoring costs and because they operate in multiple jurisdictions, enabling them to redeploy specialized labour across projects (Caves, 1996). Indigenous oil-service firms, by contrast, are typically single-project

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep796

entities whose cash-flow depends on the next JV cash-call cycle. Their shorter planning horizon raises the discount rate applied to future productivity gains, tilting the optimal training mix toward low-skill, easily replaceable labour. Moreover, because political connectivity rather than technical reputation secures contracts, indigenous firms face weaker reputational penalties for poaching workers trained by rivals, exacerbating the hold-up problem.

A second theoretical lens is provided by the literature on racialized skill taxonomy in colonial and post-colonial extractive enclaves (Bush & Maltby, 2004). Under the "colour bar," technical competence was discursively constructed as a white male attribute, legitimising wage differentials that bore no relation to marginal productivity (Stichter, 1982). Although formal decolonization abolished statutory racial bars, cognitive sociology shows that cultural schemas persist through personnel practices that continue to associate "international standards" with expatriate supervision (Rivera, 2012).

In Nigeria's oil fields, the persistence of such schemas is evident in the differential pricing of "Nigerian" versus "international" crews even when both possess identical IWCF (International Well Control Forum) certifications. Indigenous contractors internalize these schemas by conceding a "quality discount" to Nigerian labour, thereby justifying lower wages and reduced training budgets. Over time, the expectation becomes self-fulfilling: because indigenous firms under-invest in training, Nigerian workers indeed display lower mean productivity, reinforcing the stereotype. We hypothesize that the interaction between racialized skill taxonomy and oligopolistic subcontracting creates a low-skill equilibrium in which Nigerianization reduces rather than enhances the human-capital stock of the Niger Delta.

The third theoretical building block is the asset-specificity clause embedded in most production-sharing contracts (PSCs). To mitigate hold-up by the host state, MNCs insist that any equipment purchased for Nigerian fields be "Nigerian-dedicated," i.e., legally barred from re-export (World Bank, 2016). While the clause protects investors against expropriation, it also raises the opportunity cost of labour-saving automation: once installed, robotic drilling or automated welding kits cannot be redeployed to Ghana or Angola if Nigerian wages fall. Consequently, MNCs substitute capital with labour, generating high demand for semi-skilled Nigerian workers whose wages are bid up. Indigenous contractors, however, face a different constraint: because they lack global portfolios, they purchase second-hand rigs whose lower automation levels require larger crews but offer thinner profit margins. The asset-specificity clause therefore interacts with Nigerianization to produce a labour-intensive but low-wage equilibrium: more Nigerians are hired, but at lower average productivity and pay. We formalize this intuition in a simple two-sector model where foreign-led rigs are capital-intensive and high-wage, while indigenous rigs are labour-intensive and low-wage, predicting that worker-level wage growth is negatively correlated with the degree of Nigerian equity control.

Finally, we embed these micro-mechanisms within the political-economy literature on neo-patrimonialism. In contrast to Weberian bureaucracies, neo-patrimonial regimes fuse public and private authority, enabling office-holders to convert state resources into personal patronage (Medard, 1982). In Nigeria's oil sector, the president simultaneously chairs the NNPC board and controls the ministerial discretion to award marginal field licenses, creating an incentive to allocate licenses to political allies who recycle rents into campaign finance (Suberu, 2014). Because license renewal is contingent on political loyalty rather than technical efficiency, indigenous licensees face soft budget constraints: they can externalize labour costs onto host communities (via informal, non-unionized hiring) while internalising political rents. The result is a "developmental patrimonialism in reverse": instead of channeling rents into productivity-enhancing investments, Nigerianization channels them into electoral cycles that entrench labour informalisation. We therefore derive our overarching hypothesis: the interaction between neo-patrimonial licence allocation and local-content quotas creates a low-skill, low-wage equilibrium that undermines the human-capital development ostensibly targeted by the LCA.

Table 1. Theoretical Mechanisms and Testable Hypotheses

Mechanism	Indicator (Firm-Level)	Worker-Level Outcome	Hypothesised Sign
Procurement capture	UBO in Panama Papers or ≥ USD 100 k donation to governing party	Real wage growth	H1: $\beta < 0$
Oligopolistic subcontracting	Share of labour-only contracts > 50 %	Certified training hours	H2: $\beta < 0$
Racialised skill taxonomy	Client inspection dummy = 1 if only Nigerian crew on rig	IWCF wage premium	H3: Interaction $\beta < 0$
Asset-specificity clause	Rig vintage ≥ 20 years & Nigerian-dedicated = 1	Labour intensity / wage	H4: $\beta > 0$ / $\beta < 0$

Summarizes the four testable hypotheses. H_1 posits that Nigerianization increases procurement capture by politically connected firms, proxied by the share of contracts awarded to companies whose ultimate beneficial owners (UBOs) appear in the Panama Papers or who donated ≥ USD 100 k to the governing party in the last electoral cycle. H_2 predicts that, controlling for technological complexity, workers in indigenous firms receive fewer certified training hours and

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep796

experience flatter wage-tenure profiles. H_3 states that the interaction between racialized skill taxonomy and oligopolistic subcontracting reduces the wage premium attached to IWCF certification when the employer is Nigerian-owned. H_4 asserts that asset-specificity clauses amplify labour intensity but compress wages in indigenous rigs. Together, these hypotheses shift the analytical focus from macro-compliance ratios to micro-level labour-market outcomes, thereby adjudicating whether Nigerianization functions as infant-industry protection or as neo-patrimonial labour surplus extraction.

III. RESEARCH DESIGN AND DATA

We adopt a convergent mixed-methods design that nest econometric analysis within an interpretivist case study (Creswell, 2014). The quantitative strand exploits the staggered entry of indigenous rig operators after the 2010 LCA to identify the causal effect of employer nationality on three human-capital outcomes: (i) real hourly wage growth; (ii) certified training hours per annum; and (iii) promotion probability. The sample frame is constructed by merging payroll records from five International Oil Companies (IOCs) and fifteen indigenous contractors operating in ONGC, Okono and Bonga fields. After exclusion of expatriate staff (passport/ Nigerian), we obtain an unbalanced panel of 1 867 workers observed over 11 years (N = 9 335 person-year observations). Employer nationality is coded 1 if the ultimate beneficial owner (UBO) is ≥ 51 % Nigerian, zero otherwise. To mitigate selection bias—indigenous firms may hire lower-ability workers we exploit the 2014 oil-price crash as an exogenous liquidity shock that forced IOCs to divest marginal assets to Nigerian firms, creating an as-good-as-random reassignment of workers. A two-stage least squares (2SLS) model instruments Nigerian ownership with the interaction between pre-2014 IOC employment share and post-2014 price shock, conditional on worker fixed effects.

The qualitative strand purposively samples 62 respondents across three occupational strata and four states (Rivers, Bayelsa, Delta, Akwa-Ibom). Interviews lasted 90 minutes on average, were recorded, transcribed and coded in NVivo 12 using both deductive (a priori constructs from Chapter 2) and inductive (emergent themes) schemes. To ensure respondent validity, we triangulated worker narratives with procurement officers, NAPIMS (National Petroleum Investment Management Services) regulators and community youth leaders. Ethical clearance was obtained from the University of Port Harcourt (UNIPORT/SS/21/08), and informed consent secured orally in Pidgin or Ogoni as appropriate. Confidentiality is preserved through pseudonyms; identifiers indicate only occupational strata and state.

Key variables are operationalized as follows. Real wage growth is the year-on-year change in hourly compensation deflated by the Niger Delta CPI (base = 2010). Certified training hours comprise IWCF, NEBOSH, IWCF well-control, and OPITO-approved offshore survival courses logged in the company's HRIS. Promotion is a binary indicator for upward movement in the firm's grade structure. Political connectivity is measured by whether the UBO appears in the 2021 Panama Papers Nigeria subset or donated ≥ USD 100 k to the All Progressives Congress (APC) or Peoples Democratic Party (PDP) during the 2019 electoral cycle, data coded from the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) returns. Technological complexity is proxied by the ratio of capital expenditure to total rig-day cost sourced from WoodMac upstream database. Descriptive statistics (Table 2) show that indigenous firms pay a median hourly wage of USD 6.8 versus USD 11.2 in IOC-led rigs, and provide 28 certified training hours per worker-year compared with 69 hours in IOC rigs. Pre-trends tests confirm parallel wage growth prior to 2010, validating the DiD identification assumption.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics (Worker-Year Level, N=9 335)

Variable	**Mean**	**SD**	**p25**	**p75**	**IOC mean**	**Indigenous mean**	**p-diff**
Real wage (USD/hr	2010 = 100)	8.97	3.41	6.3	11.2	6.8	0.000
Certified training hours	48.5	22.1	28	69	69	28	0.000
Age (years)	34.7	7.9	29	40	35.1	34.2	0.124
Experience (years)	7.3	4.6	4	10	8.1	6.4	0.000
Promotion (0/1)	0.12	0.32	0	0	0.18	0.06	0.000
Rig-day capex (USD million)	0.21	0.09	0.15	0.26	0.26	0.15	0.000

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep796

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 Effect of Nigerian Ownership on Human-Capital Outcomes

	(1) OLS	(2) OLS	(3) OLS	(4) OLS	(5) 2SLS
Panel A – Dependent variable: Δ Real wage (log)	–0.340 (0.041)	–0.365 (0.043)	–0.420 (0.051)	–0.380 (0.045)	–0.510 (0.082)
Nigerian ownership (≥ 51 %)			–0.180 (0.072)	–0.165 (0.068)	–0.195 (0.079)
Nigerian × Connected	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Worker FE	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Field × Year FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Oil-price volatility					27.4
KP F-stat	9 335	9 335	9 335	9 335	9 335
Observations	–41.3 (4.2)	–43.7 (4.5)	–45.1 (4.8)	–44.6 (4.7)	–52.8 (7.1)
Panel B – Dependent variable: Certified training hours	0.11	0.26	0.29	0.31	0.33
Nigerian ownership					
R^2 (within)					

Table 3 presents baseline OLS estimates. Controlling for age, experience and field fixed effects, Nigerian ownership is associated with a 0.34 log-point reduction in real wage growth ($p < 0.01$) and 41 % fewer certified training hours ($p < 0.01$). The wage penalty is largest for semi-professional grades (−0.42 log-points), consistent with H2's prediction that indigenous firms compress the middle of the wage distribution. Columns (3)-(4) add political connectivity: the interaction term Nigerian Connected is negative and significant, indicating that connected indigenous firms pay 18 % less than non-connected ones, suggesting that political capture amplifies rather than mitigates labour extraction. To address endogeneity, Column (5) reports 2SLS estimates. The first-stage F-statistic (27.4) exceeds the Stock-Yogo critical value, confirming instrument relevance. The second-stage coefficient on Nigerian ownership rises to −0.51 log-points, implying that selection bias attenuates the OLS estimate by one-third. A placebo test re-assigns treatment two years prior to actual divestment; the coefficient is insignificant, supporting the causal interpretation.

Table 4. Roburstness and Placebo Tests

Specification	Coeff. on Nigerian Ownership	SE	Obs.
1. Balanced panel (same employer 2010-21)	–0.480	0.089	4 112
2. Spill-over: include rig-level indigenous share	–0.475	0.085	9 335
3. High-price period only (Brent > USD 80)	–0.310	0.078	4 560
4. Low-price period only (Brent < USD 60)	–0.590	0.102	4 775
5. Placebo: ownership re-assigned 2008-09	–0.030	0.065	1 704

Qualitative narratives illuminate the causal pathways. First, racialized skill taxonomy surfaced repeatedly: "When the client sees white man face on rig floor, he relaxes; if it is only black man, he go ask for third-party inspection," explained Tunde, a Nigerian drilling supervisor. Because clients (typically NNPC-IOC joint ventures) discount Nigerian-certified crews, indigenous contractors bid 15-20 % below technical reserve price, recovering the margin by suppressing wages and training. Second, oligopolistic subcontracting fragments career ladders. A typical indigenous rig employs 180 workers but outsources 60 % of positions to "labour-only" companies that pay daily rates with no promotion track. As Ebi, a welder, lamented: "I have IWCF, but my contract is with Manpower Agency; promotion na only for staff, not for agency." Third, asset-specificity clauses intensify labour intensity. Indigenous firms purchase 1980s-generation rigs whose mechanical systems require 30 % more crew than automated 6th-generation drillships. Yet because the rigs are Nigerian-dedicated, they cannot be redeployed when day-rates fall, locking workers into low-margin operations. These mechanisms corroborate the quantitative wage penalty and suggest that Nigerianization has created a low-skill equilibrium that contradicts the LCA's human-capital rhetoric.

Table 5. Qualitative Mechanism - Frequency of Coded Nodes (N=62 Interviews)

Node	Definition	Mentions	Illustrative quote
Racialized client audit	“Client no trust Nigerian crew alone”	48	“When oyinbo leave, inspection wahala start”
Labour-only subcontracting	Daily-paid, no promotion track	41	“Agency work na dead end”
Training budget diversion	L&D funds spent on community liaison	29	“MD say train community youths instead”
Asset-specific old rigs	Second-hand rigs, labour-intensive	35	“1979 rig need 20 roustabouts more”

Robustness checks reinforce the core findings. We re-estimate the model using only workers who remained with the same employer throughout the sample to eliminate job-switching bias; the coefficient on Nigerian ownership remains −0.48 log-points. We also test for spill-overs by including the share of indigenous contracts at the rig level; the direct effect is unchanged while the spill-over coefficient is small (0.03), indicating that IOC wages are not bid down by nearby indigenous operations. Finally, we interact Nigerian ownership with oil-price volatility; the negative wage effect is larger during price slumps, confirming that indigenous firms transmit commodity risk onto workers. Taken together, the results reject the infant-industry hypothesis that temporary protection will incubate high-skill indigenous suppliers; instead, they support the neo-patrimonial extraction thesis.

V. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This study analyzed the first worker-level evidence that Nigerianization, as operationalized by the 2010 Local Content Act, has undermined rather than advanced human-capital development in the Niger Delta. Using a panel of 1 867 oil-field employees and 62 qualitative interviews, we demonstrate that indigenous contractors pay 34 % lower real wage growth and provide 41 % fewer certified training hours than IOC-led firms. The mechanisms are political capture, racialised skill taxonomy and asset-specificity clauses that interact to entrench a low-skill, low-wage equilibrium. These findings caution against equating nominal equity share with inclusive development and call for re-orienting local-content metrics toward objective labour-market outcomes.

Policy implications are four-fold. First, amend the LCA to condition contract preference on verified training expenditure per worker (minimum 5 % of payroll) and on transparent wage-ladder density linked to global industry benchmarks. Second, transfer oversight from NCDMB (Nigerian Content Development & Monitoring Board)—whose board is dominated by petroleum ministers—to an independent Skills Standards Council that includes labour unions, IWCF and international accreditation bodies. Third, remove the asset-specificity clause for training equipment so that simulators and robotic kits can be redeployed across Africa, reducing the opportunity cost of automation. Finally, legislate compulsory joint-union recognition across all tiers of subcontractors to mitigate the oligopolistic fragmentation of career ladders. Absent these reforms, Nigerianization will continue to convert hydrocarbon rent into political patronage while leaving the Niger Delta’s youth locked in precarious, low-skill employment.

REFERENCES

[1]. Abdulkabir, A. R., Oladipo, F. O., & Adegbite, A. O. (2017). Validity of observed indicators of local content policy in Nigeria: Evidence from the four-factor measurement model. *Cogent Business & Management*, 4(1), 1335989.
[2]. Adewuyi, A. O., & Oyejide, T. A. (2012). Local content policy and linkage creation in the Nigerian oil industry. *OPEC Energy Review*, 36(2), 165-181.
[3]. Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis*. University of Chicago Press.
[4]. Bush, M., & Maltby, T. (2004). Taxation and development in the Niger Delta: The role of multinational companies. *Journal of Development Studies*, 40(3), 405-434.
[5]. Caves, R. E. (1996). *Multinational enterprise and economic analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
[6]. Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.
[7]. Doeringer, P. B., & Piore, M. J. (1971). *Internal labor markets and manpower analysis*. Heath.
[8]. Hausmann, R., & Rodrik, D. (2003). Economic development as self-discovery. *Journal of Development Economics*, 72(2), 603-633.
[9]. Heum, P., Quale, C., Karlsen, J. E., Kråkenes, A. M., & Nygaard, K. (2003). Local content strategies in the oil and gas sector: *Experiences from Angola, Norway and Nigeria*. SNF Report 08/03.
[10]. Kelsall, T. (2013). *Business, politics, and the state in Africa: Challenging the orthodoxies*. Zed Books.
[11]. Krueger, A. O. (1974). The political economy of the rent-seeking society. *American Economic Review*, 64(3), 291-303.
[12]. Krugman, P. (1987). The narrow moving band, the Dutch disease, and the competitive consequences of Mrs. Thatcher. *Journal of Development Economics*, 27(1-2), 41-55.

[13]. Medard, J. F. (1982). The underdeveloped state in tropical Africa: Political clientelism or neo-patrimonialism? In C. Clapham (Ed.), *Private patronage and public power* (pp. 162-192). Pinter.
[14]. Mincer, J. (1974). *Schooling, experience and earnings*. NBER.
[15]. NNPC. (2021). *Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation annual statistical bulletin*. Abuja: NNPC Corporate Planning & Strategy Division.
[16]. NBS. (2022). *Nigerian poverty profile 2022*. Abuja: National Bureau of Statistics.
[17]. NDDC. (2022). *Niger Delta regional development master-plan: Mid-term review. Port Harcourt*: Niger Delta Development Commission.
[18]. Okonta, I. (2008). When citizens revolt: Nigerian elites, big oil and the Ogoni struggle for self-determination. *Africa World Press.*
[19]. Rivera, L. A. (2012). Hiring as cultural matching: The case of elite professional service firms. *American Sociological Review*, 77(6), 999-1022.
[20]. Stichter, S. (1982). *Migrant labourers.* Cambridge University Press.
[21]. Suberu, R. (2014). *Petroleum rentierism, fiscal federalism and conflicts in Nigeria*. Oxford University Press.
[22]. Tullock, G. (1967). The welfare costs of tariffs, monopolies, and theft. *Western Economic Journal*, 5(3), 224-232.
[23]. Ukiwo, U. (2021). Oil, citizenship and the political economy of local content in Nigeria. *Journal of Modern African Studies*, 59(1), 1-25.
[24]. Venables, A. J. (2016). Using natural resources for development: Why has it proven so difficult? *Journal of Economic Perspectives,* 30(1), 161-184.
[25]. Watts, M. (2004). Resource curse? Governmentality, oil and power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Geopolitics,* 9(1), 50-80.
[26]. World Bank. (2016). *Nigeria oil and gas industry content development study*. *Washington*, DC: World Bank Group.