

# The New Frontier of Peace: Integrating Cybersecurity into Sierra Leone's Security Sector Reform

Joseph Nylander

Publication Date: 2025/09/09

**Abstract:** Sierra Leone, a nation that has made remarkable strides in post-conflict peacebuilding and state reconstruction, stands at a critical juncture. While its Security Sector Reform (SSR) process has been lauded as a relative success in establishing democratic governance over traditional security forces, the dawn of the digital age presents a new, complex, and pervasive frontier of threats. This article argues that the future stability and sustainable peace of Sierra Leone are intrinsically linked to the successful integration of cybersecurity into its ongoing SSR framework. The digital realm is no longer a peripheral concern but a central arena where economic stability, social cohesion, and state sovereignty are contested. Failure to incorporate a robust cybersecurity posture into the nation's security architecture risks rendering previous SSR gains vulnerable and exposing the state to a new generation of hybrid threats. This paper analyzes the specific cyber threat landscape confronting Sierra Leone, evaluates its current cybersecurity capacity, and proposes a multi-pillar strategic framework for embedding cybersecurity within the core tenets of its security sector. This framework emphasizes holistic governance, institutional capacity building, strategic public-private partnerships, enhanced international cooperation, and a rights-respecting approach to digital security. The article concludes that by proactively treating cyberspace as a critical domain of national security, Sierra Leone can not only protect its hard-won peace but also leverage digital transformation as a catalyst for socio-economic development, thereby securing its position as a resilient and forward-looking West African nation.

**Keywords:** *Cybersecurity, Security Sector Reform (SSR), Sierra Leone, Peacebuilding, National Security, Cybercrime, Digital Transformation, Hybrid Threats.*

**How to Cite:** Joseph Nylander (2025) The New Frontier of Peace: Integrating Cybersecurity into Sierra Leone's Security Sector Reform. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(9), 97-102.  
<https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep125>

## I. INTRODUCTION: FROM POST-CONFLICT TO DIGITAL RESILIENCE

The narrative of Sierra Leone over the past two decades is one of remarkable transformation. Emerging from the ashes of a brutal eleven-year civil war (1991-2002), the country embarked on an ambitious journey of state reconstruction, with Security Sector Reform (SSR) at its heart. The primary goal was to transform dysfunctional, predatory, and partisan security forces into effective, accountable, and democratically governed institutions subservient to the rule of law (Ball, 2010). This process involved extensive restructuring of the Republic of Sierra Leone Armed Forces (RSLAF) and the Sierra Leone Police (SLP), establishing civilian oversight mechanisms, and embedding principles of human rights and public service into their operational doctrines. While challenges remain, Sierra Leone's SSR is often cited as a foundational element of its sustained peace (Hänggi & Scherrer, 2007).

However, the very definition of "security" is undergoing a profound global evolution. The traditional kinetic threats that SSR was designed to address—rebellion, coups, and widespread civil unrest—are now complemented by insidious, borderless, and often anonymous threats emanating from cyberspace. The rapid digitalization of Sierra Leone's economy, governance, and social interactions, while a powerful engine for development, has simultaneously expanded the nation's attack surface. The proliferation of mobile money, the digitization of government services, and the increasing reliance on digital communication create vulnerabilities that can be exploited by a diverse range of actors, from individual cybercriminals to sophisticated state-sponsored groups.

These digital threats are not merely technical inconveniences; they are potent national security challenges with the capacity to destabilize the state. A successful cyberattack on the nation's nascent financial technology (FinTech) sector could shatter public trust and cripple the

economy. The systematic spread of disinformation on social media platforms could reignite ethnic or political tensions, undoing years of peacebuilding work. An assault on critical national infrastructure, such as the power grid or telecommunications networks, could bring the country to a standstill. For a post-conflict state where institutional resilience is still developing and social trust is fragile, the impact of such events could be catastrophic.

Therefore, this article posits a clear and urgent thesis: the next logical and necessary evolution of Sierra Leone's Security Sector Reform is the comprehensive and strategic integration of cybersecurity. This is not about adding a new technical unit to the police force; it is about fundamentally re-conceptualizing national security to include the digital domain. It requires a whole-of-government, whole-of-society approach that adapts the core principles of SSR—accountability, governance, effectiveness, and respect for human rights—to the unique challenges of the 21st-century cyber landscape.

This paper will proceed in five parts. First, it will briefly review the theoretical underpinnings of SSR and its application in Sierra Leone to establish a baseline. Second, it will map the specific cyber threat landscape facing the nation, moving from abstract risks to concrete vulnerabilities. Third, it will assess Sierra Leone's current cybersecurity posture, including its legal and institutional frameworks. Fourth, it will propose a detailed, multi-pillar framework for integrating cybersecurity into the SSR process. Finally, it will discuss the inherent challenges and offer concluding recommendations for policymakers in Freetown and their international partners.

## II. THE FOUNDATION: SSR IN POST-CONFLICT SIERRA LEONE

Security Sector Reform emerged in the late 1990s as a cornerstone of international peacebuilding and development policy. It is premised on the understanding that sustainable peace is impossible without security institutions that are both effective in providing safety and accountable to the populace they serve (Brzoska, 2003). SSR is a holistic concept, encompassing not only the primary security actors (military, police, intelligence) but also the management and oversight bodies (parliamentary committees, ministries of defence and interior) and the judicial and penal systems that uphold the rule of law.

The core principles of "good" SSR, as articulated by the OECD-DAC and the United Nations, revolve around several key ideas (OECD, 2007):

- Local Ownership: Reforms must be driven by the needs and consent of the host nation, not imposed externally.
- Accountability and Transparency: Security forces must be answerable to democratically elected civilian authorities and the public.

- Effectiveness and Affordability: The security sector must be capable of addressing the primary security threats to the state and its citizens within a sustainable national budget.
- Respect for Human Rights and Rule of Law: The actions of all security personnel must be bound by national and international law.

In Sierra Leone, the SSR process, heavily supported by the United Kingdom's International Military Assistance and Training Team (IMATT), was a direct response to the collapse of the state during the civil war. The security forces had become politicized, predatory, and ineffective. The reform process focused on rightsizing the military, professionalizing the police force through the introduction of community policing models, establishing the Office of National Security (ONS) as a civilian coordination body, and strengthening parliamentary oversight (Baker & May, 2004). This created a foundational architecture for democratic security governance that remains in place today.

However, this architecture was designed for a pre-digital world. The mandates of the ONS, the RSLAF, and the SLP were conceived primarily in terms of physical security and territorial integrity. While these functions remain vital, they are insufficient to address threats that traverse digital networks, originate from halfway across the world, and target critical data rather than physical assets. The very success of Sierra Leone's initial SSR provides the institutional groundwork upon which a new, cyber-inclusive security paradigm can be built. The challenge now is to adapt these institutions and their guiding principles to the new frontier of peace and security: cyberspace.

## III. THE EMERGING BATTLEFIELD: SIERRA LEONE'S CYBER THREAT LANDSCAPE

The cyber threats facing Sierra Leone are not abstract future possibilities; they are present and growing realities. As the nation deepens its integration into the global digital ecosystem, its exposure to malicious cyber activities intensifies. The threat landscape can be categorized into several key areas.

### A. Financial Cybercrime and Fraud

This is arguably the most immediate and tangible cyber threat to the average Sierra Leonean and the national economy. The rapid adoption of mobile money services (e.g., Orange Money, Africell Money) has created a new, lucrative target for criminals. Common schemes include phishing attacks (sending fraudulent messages to trick users into revealing their PINs), SIM swap fraud (illegally gaining control of a victim's mobile number), and social engineering scams. These crimes not only cause direct financial loss to citizens, many of whom are low-income, but also risk eroding trust in the digital financial systems that are crucial for economic inclusion and growth. Beyond individual users, the banking sector itself is a prime target for more sophisticated attacks, including business email compromise (BEC) scams and attempts to breach internal banking systems.

**B. Disinformation and Information Operations**

In a society with a history of deep political and ethnic divisions, the malicious use of information poses a significant threat to social cohesion and democratic stability. Social media platforms like WhatsApp and Facebook are fertile ground for the rapid spread of "fake news," hate speech, and inflammatory political propaganda. During election periods, these platforms can be weaponized to incite violence, delegitimize electoral processes, and deepen societal polarization (Gagliardone, 2019). These campaigns may be orchestrated by domestic political actors or, potentially, by external forces seeking to destabilize the region. For the security sector, distinguishing between legitimate dissent and coordinated information operations designed to provoke unrest is a complex challenge that traditional policing methods are ill-equipped to handle.

**C. Threats to Critical National Infrastructure (CNI)**

While Sierra Leone's CNI is still developing, its core components are increasingly digitized and networked. This includes:

➤ **Telecommunications**

The submarine fiber optic cables (like the Africa Coast to Europe - ACE cable) that provide the country's primary internet connectivity are critical single points of failure.

➤ **Energy Sector**

Modernizing energy grids often involves integrating digital "smart grid" technologies, which, if not properly secured, can be vulnerable to remote shutdown or manipulation.

➤ **Government Digital Services**

The government's push towards e-governance, including digital records and online service portals, creates centralized databases of sensitive citizen information, making them attractive targets for espionage or ransomware attacks.

➤ **Port and Airport Management**

Modern logistics rely on networked systems for customs, cargo tracking, and air traffic control. A disruption to these systems could paralyze trade and travel.

An attack on any of these sectors would have a disproportionately large impact, cascading across society and undermining the government's ability to function.

**D. Data Espionage and Sovereignty**

As the government and private sector accumulate vast amounts of digital data—from citizen biometric information to corporate financial records—the question of data sovereignty becomes a national security issue. This data is a strategic asset. State-sponsored actors may seek to exfiltrate this data for intelligence purposes, to gain a commercial advantage for their own national companies, or to create detailed profiles of key Sierra Leonean leaders for blackmail or manipulation. Ensuring that this sensitive national data is stored securely and governed

by robust national laws is paramount to protecting state sovereignty in the digital age.

**IV. ASSESSING THE CURRENT POSTURE: GAPS AND OPPORTUNITIES**

Sierra Leone has not been entirely passive in the face of these emerging threats. The government has taken several important initial steps, most notably the passage of the Cyber Security and Crime Act of 2021. This landmark legislation provides the first comprehensive legal framework for addressing cybercrime, establishing mechanisms for electronic evidence, and promoting the security of critical information infrastructure. It also created key institutional bodies, including a National Cybersecurity Coordination Centre.

Furthermore, institutions like the Directorate of Science, Technology, and Innovation (DSTI) have championed a "digital-first" agenda, while the National Telecommunications Commission (NATCOM) plays a regulatory role. However, a critical assessment reveals significant gaps between legislative intent and operational reality.

➤ **Institutional Fragmentation**

Responsibility for cybersecurity is fragmented across multiple bodies—the ONS, the SLP's cybercrime unit, NATCOM, the Ministry of Information, and the new coordination centre. Mandates are often overlapping or unclear, leading to coordination challenges and a lack of a unified national strategy. This mirrors the early challenges of SSR, where "stove-piped" security agencies failed to cooperate effectively.

➤ **Capacity and Skills Deficit**

The most significant bottleneck is the acute shortage of trained cybersecurity professionals within the security sector. The SLP may have a "cyber unit," but does it possess the requisite skills in digital forensics, network analysis, and malware reverse-engineering to investigate complex cases? Does the RSLAF have the personnel to defend military networks, let alone contribute to a national cyber defense strategy? The educational pipeline for producing these skills within Sierra Leone is still in its infancy.

➤ **Lack of a Clear Cyber Defense Doctrine**

While the 2021 Act addresses cybercrime, it does not articulate a national cyber defense doctrine. Critical questions remain unanswered: What constitutes a cyberattack on the nation? What is the threshold for a military response? What are the roles and responsibilities of the RSLAF versus civilian agencies in responding to a major national cyber incident? Without this doctrinal clarity, any response is likely to be ad-hoc and ineffective.

### ➤ *Public-Private Partnership Gaps*

The vast majority of Sierra Leone's critical digital infrastructure is owned and operated by the private sector (e.g., telcos, banks). Effective national cybersecurity is impossible without deep, trust-based collaboration between the government and these entities. Currently, mechanisms for systematic information sharing about threats and vulnerabilities are underdeveloped.

### ➤ *Human Rights Concerns*

The 2021 Act contains provisions, particularly regarding the lawful interception of communications and broad definitions of cyber-offenses, that have raised concerns among civil society organizations about potential misuse for suppressing dissent and infringing on privacy and freedom of expression (CIPESA, 2021). Any integration of cybersecurity into SSR must proactively address these concerns to maintain public trust and democratic legitimacy.

These gaps highlight a crucial point: having a law is not the same as having a capability. The current situation presents an opportunity to apply the proven principles of SSR to build a truly resilient and accountable national cybersecurity posture.

## V. A FRAMEWORK FOR INTEGRATION: THE FIVE PILLARS OF CYBER-SSR

To move from a reactive to a proactive cybersecurity posture, Sierra Leone should adopt a comprehensive integration framework structured around five core pillars. This framework adapts the holistic spirit of traditional SSR to the digital domain.

### A. *Pillar 1: Unified Governance and Strategic Policy*

The first step is to rationalize the currently fragmented institutional landscape. The Office of National Security (ONS), as the established civilian-led body for coordinating all aspects of national security, is the logical anchor for national cybersecurity governance.

#### ➤ *Develop a National Cybersecurity Strategy*

The ONS should lead a multi-stakeholder process to develop and publish a formal National Cybersecurity Strategy. This document should not be purely technical; it must be nested within the overarching National Security Policy. It should clearly define the nation's cyber-related goals, identify primary threats, and delineate the specific roles and responsibilities of every government ministry and agency, from defense and law enforcement to finance and education.

#### ➤ *Strengthen the National Cybersecurity Coordination Centre*

The Centre, established under the 2021 Act, should be operationally situated under the ONS to give it convening power and authority. Its mandate should be focused on being the national Computer Security Incident Response Team (CSIRT), acting as the central hub for threat intelligence analysis, incident response coordination, and vulnerability advisories for both public and private sectors.

### ➤ *Cyber-Aware Parliamentary Oversight*

The parliamentary committee responsible for security oversight must be empowered and educated to scrutinize the state's cybersecurity policies and budgets. This includes questioning intelligence agencies on their use of surveillance technologies and ensuring that cyber-related spending delivers real capability.

### B. *Pillar 2: Building Human and Institutional Capacity*

A strategy is meaningless without the people to execute it. A massive, sustained investment in human capital is non-negotiable.

#### ➤ *Specialized Training for Security Forces*

##### • *Sierra Leone Police (SLP):*

The existing cybercrime unit must be significantly expanded and professionalized. Training should focus on core competencies: digital forensics (recovering data from phones and computers), tracking financial crimes, understanding dark web marketplaces, and collaborating with international law enforcement like INTERPOL. This requires dedicated labs with modern forensic tools.

##### • *Republic of Sierra Leone Armed Forces (RSLAF):*

The military needs to develop a dedicated cyber defense capability. This starts with securing its own networks (a "defend the fort" mission) and progresses to a national defense mission. The RSLAF should establish a small, elite Cyber Defense Unit tasked with protecting critical national infrastructure from state-level cyberattacks, in close coordination with the civilian CSIRT.

#### ➤ *Creating a Domestic Talent Pipeline:*

In the long term, Sierra Leone cannot rely on foreign training. The government must partner with universities, such as Fourah Bay College, to develop undergraduate and postgraduate curricula in cybersecurity, digital forensics, and information assurance. This includes funding labs, training faculty, and creating scholarship programs.

#### ➤ *Judicial and Prosecutorial Training*

Police investigations are useless if prosecutors cannot effectively argue digital evidence in court and judges do not understand it. The judiciary requires specialized training on the nuances of the Cybercrime Act and the nature of electronic evidence to ensure fair and effective trials.

### C. *Pillar 3: Strategic Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)*

The government cannot secure cyberspace alone. The private sector, particularly telecommunications companies and banks, operates the very infrastructure that needs defending.

#### ➤ *Formalize Information Sharing:*

The National Cybersecurity Coordination Centre should establish a formal, trusted mechanism for bi-directional



information sharing with the private sector. This would allow banks and telcos to report new threats they are seeing in near-real-time, while the government can provide them with classified or sensitive threat intelligence.

➤ *Incentivize Security Standards*

Instead of relying solely on punitive regulation, the government should work with industry associations to create a set of baseline cybersecurity standards for critical sectors. Companies that meet these standards could be offered incentives, such as preferential treatment in government contracts or public recognition.

➤ *Leverage Private Sector Expertise*

The government should create fellowship programs that allow private sector cybersecurity experts to spend a year working within government agencies, transferring critical skills and fostering mutual understanding.

*D. Pillar 4: Proactive Regional and International Cooperation*

Cyber threats are borderless, so defense must be collaborative. Sierra Leone should actively pursue a strategy of "cyber-diplomacy."

➤ *Deepen ECOWAS Collaboration:*

The Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) has a regional cybersecurity agenda. Sierra Leone should be a leader in these efforts, pushing for joint training exercises, a regional threat intelligence sharing platform, and harmonized cybercrime legislation to prevent criminals from finding safe havens in neighboring countries.

➤ *Utilize International Partnerships:*

Engage proactively with international partners beyond traditional defense cooperation. Seek assistance from countries with advanced cyber capabilities (e.g., U.S., U.K., Estonia, Singapore) not just for equipment, but for long-term mentorship in doctrine development, policy formulation, and training.

➤ *Engage with Global Norms:*

Actively participate in international forums at the African Union and the United Nations that are debating the norms of responsible state behavior in cyberspace. This ensures Sierra Leone's voice is heard in shaping the future "rules of the road" for the digital world.

*E. Pillar 5: A Rights-Respecting, Citizen-Centric Approach*

The goal of cybersecurity is to protect the nation and its people, not to control them. This pillar ensures that the integration of cybersecurity into the security sector strengthens, rather than undermines, democracy.

➤ *Independent Oversight and Data Protection:*

Establish an independent Data Protection Authority, as mandated by the 2021 Act, and ensure it is adequately funded and empowered to act as a watchdog over how the government

and security forces collect, use, and store citizens' data. This body must have the power to investigate abuses.

➤ *Promote Digital Literacy*

The most effective defense is an informed citizenry. The government, in partnership with civil society, must launch massive, nationwide campaigns to educate the public on cyber hygiene: how to spot phishing scams, use strong passwords, and identify disinformation. This builds societal resilience from the ground up.

➤ *Civil Society Engagement:*

Create formal, regular dialogue mechanisms between the ONS, the security forces, and civil society organizations working on digital rights. This allows for concerns about surveillance and free expression to be aired and addressed transparently, building the public trust that is essential for effective security.

## VI. INHERENT CHALLENGES AND MITIGATING RISKS

Implementing this ambitious framework will not be without significant challenges. Acknowledging and planning for them is crucial for success.

➤ *Resource Constraints:*

Sierra Leone is a resource-constrained nation. Building a robust cyber capability is expensive, requiring investments in software, hardware, and continuous training. The government must make this a budget priority and creatively leverage international donor support, framing cybersecurity as a core component of sustainable development and good governance, not just a niche military issue.

➤ *Political Will:*

Sustained, high-level political will is the most critical ingredient. Leaders must understand that cybersecurity is a fundamental issue of national sovereignty and economic survival. Without consistent championship from the highest levels of government, initiatives will stall.

➤ *Brain Drain:*

Once trained, skilled cybersecurity professionals are globally in high demand. The government will need to create competitive salary structures and career paths within the civil service and security sector to retain the talent it develops, preventing a "brain drain" to the private sector or overseas.

➤ *Pace of Technological Change:*

The threat landscape evolves at a blistering pace. Any strategy or capability developed today could be obsolete tomorrow. This requires a shift in mindset within the security sector from static, long-term planning to a culture of agile adaptation, continuous learning, and constant technological refresh.

➤ *The Risk of Overreach:*

There will always be a temptation for security agencies to use new cyber capabilities for political surveillance or to crack down on dissent. The only effective mitigation is the institutionalization of strong, independent, and transparent oversight mechanisms as described in Pillar 5. Democratic resilience and digital security must be seen as mutually reinforcing, not competing, goals.

## VII. CONCLUSION: SECURING THE DIGITAL FUTURE OF SALONE

Sierra Leone's journey from a failed state to a functioning democracy is a testament to the nation's resilience and the foundational success of its initial Security Sector Reform. That reform process, however, was designed for the security challenges of the 20th century. To secure its hard-won peace and unlock the potential of the 21st century, Sierra Leone must now undertake a second, vital phase of reform: the full integration of cybersecurity into its national security architecture.

This is not a matter of choice, but of necessity. The digital domain is the new frontier where the nation's economic future, social stability, and political integrity will be defended or lost. A failure to act decisively will leave the country dangerously exposed to a new wave of threats that could unravel decades of progress.

The five-pillar framework proposed in this article—Unified Governance, Capacity Building, Public-Private Partnerships, International Cooperation, and a Rights-Respecting Approach—offers a strategic, holistic, and actionable roadmap. It applies the hard-learned lessons of traditional SSR to the complexities of the digital age. It is an ambitious agenda, fraught with challenges, that will require sustained investment, unwavering political will, and a fundamental shift in mindset.

By embracing this challenge, Sierra Leone can do more than just protect itself. It can pioneer a model for other post-conflict African nations, demonstrating how to build a secure, resilient, and rights-respecting digital future. By integrating cybersecurity into its peacebuilding DNA, Sierra Leone can ensure that its next chapter is one of digital innovation, economic prosperity, and enduring peace.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Baker, B., & May, R. (2004). Reconstructing the Security Sector in Sierra Leone. *Africa Spectrum*, 39(1), 59-72.
- [2]. Ball, N. (2010). The Evolution of the Security Sector Reform Agenda. In M. Sedra (Ed.), *The Future of Security Sector Reform*. Centre for International Governance Innovation.
- [3]. Brzoska, M. (2003). *Development Donors and the Concept of Security Sector Reform*. Occasional Paper No. 4. Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF).
- [4]. Collaboration on International ICT Policy for East and Southern Africa (CIPESA). (2021). *State of Internet Freedom in Sierra Leone 2021*. CIPESA.
- [5]. Gagliardone, I. (2019). *The Politics of Disinformation in the Global South: A Case Study of Sierra Leone*. London School of Economics and Political Science.
- [6]. Government of Sierra Leone. (2021). *The Cyber Security and Crime Act, 2021*. The Sierra Leone Gazette.
- [7]. Hänggi, H., & Scherrer, V. (Eds.). (2007). *Security Sector Reform and UN Integrated Missions: Experience from Burundi, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Haiti and Sierra Leone*. Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF).
- [8]. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2007). *OECD DAC Handbook on Security System Reform (SSR): Supporting Security and Justice*. OECD Publishing.
- [9]. Tchakounte, T. (2022). *Cybersecurity in Africa: A Comprehensive Overview*. In T. Tchakounte (Ed.), *The Palgrave Handbook of Cybersecurity in Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan.