

# Digital Transformation in the Judiciary: Evaluating the Impact of Court Case Management Systems on Reducing Case Backlogs and Enhancing Efficiency in Subordinate Courts of Tamil Nadu

C. Valliammai<sup>1</sup>; Dr. Jayasree Krishnan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, VELS Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai 600117; Assistant Registrar, The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University, Chennai

<sup>2</sup>Director, School of Management Studies and Commerce, VELS Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai 600117

Publication Date: 2025/07/31

**Abstract:** Case pendency has long impeded timely justice delivery in India, with subordinate courts bearing the brunt of the backlog. To counter this chronic issue, successive e-Governance initiatives have sought to digitalise the court ecosystem. The Court Case Management System (CCMS) is among the most far-reaching of these reforms, promising end-to-end workflow automation, richer data visibility and citizen-centric services. Using a mixed-methods case-study design, this article measures the impact of CCMS implementation across ten district courts in Tamil Nadu between 2021 and 2024. Quantitative analysis of National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG) statistics is complemented by qualitative insights from judges, clerks and litigants. The evidence points to a 10–20 percent decline in case backlog in major districts, shorter throughput times in routine matters, reduced clerical workload and higher user satisfaction. Nonetheless, the benefits are moderated by uneven digital literacy, infrastructure deficits and cultural resistance to process change. The article closes with policy recommendations aligned to Sustainable Development Goals 4, 9 and 16 to secure an inclusive, innovation-driven and accountable justice system.

**Keywords:** Digital Judiciary; Court Case Management System; Case Backlog; Subordinate Courts; Tamil Nadu; Judicial Efficiency; E-Governance; SDG 16.

**How to Cite:** C. Valliammai; Dr. Jayasree Krishnan (2025), Digital Transformation in the Judiciary: Evaluating the Impact of Court Case Management Systems on Reducing Case Backlogs and Enhancing Efficiency in Subordinate Courts of Tamil Nadu.

*International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(7), 2385-2386.

<https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul386>

## I. INTRODUCTION

The judiciary is the cornerstone of democratic governance, ensuring the rule of law and the protection of fundamental rights. Yet India's lower courts are beleaguered by mounting dockets that erode public confidence and hamper economic development. Tamil Nadu, while comparatively well resourced, still records several million cases awaiting adjudication. Digital transformation—particularly through Court Case Management Systems (CCMS)—has been posited as a structural remedy that addresses the twin goals of expedition and transparency. This study evaluates whether CCMS realises its promise in practice.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Scholarly work on the technology–justice nexus underscores the distributive impact of delays. Banerjee and Duflo (2019) argue that protracted litigation disproportionately burdens the poor. At policy level, the e-Courts Mission Mode Project—launched in 2005 under the National e-Governance Plan—articulates an integrated digital court architecture (Ministry of Law & Justice, 2020). Empirical studies attest to incremental efficiency gains: Srivastava (2021) reports improved cause-list generation, while Reddy (2022) notes faster document retrieval and scheduling. Nevertheless, the micro-level effect on pendency within a single state jurisdiction remains under-explored,

giving rise to the present enquiry.

### III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To gauge the extent of CCMS roll-out in Tamil Nadu's subordinate courts;
- To measure the system's effect on case backlog and throughput;
- To examine administrative and experiential efficiency gains;
- To diagnose adoption barriers and recommend actionable reforms.

### IV. METHODOLOGY

A convergent mixed-methods strategy was employed. Quantitative data (2021–2024) were sourced from the NJDG and e-Courts dashboards, enabling before-and-after trend analysis. Qualitative evidence comprises 42 semi-structured interviews with judicial officers, clerical personnel and IT staff across ten district courts. Statistical comparisons used percentage change metrics, while interview transcripts were thematically coded with NVivo to surface recurrent enablers and bottlenecks.

### V. CCMS IMPLEMENTATION IN TAMIL NADU

Phase II of the e-Courts project has equipped more than 700 subordinate courts with CIS 3.2, e-filing gateways and SMS/portal services. Virtual courts for traffic-ticket adjudication operate in the Chennai Metropolitan Magistrate Bench, disposing of over 28,000 cases and realising ₹21.5 crore in fines. Citizen interfaces include daily cause-lists, judgment downloads and real-time process-service tracking via NSTEP.

### VI. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

#### ➤ Backlog Reduction

Post-deployment data show a 10–20 percent decline in pending dockets in Chennai, Coimbatore and Madurai. The greatest gains emerged in traffic, petty-offence and summary civil suits, where automated scheduling compresses hearing intervals.

#### ➤ Efficiency Gains

Digital filing and auto-generated notices curtailed clerical workload by roughly 30 percent. Real-time cause-list updates improved courtroom preparedness, enabling judges to handle an extra 3–5 matters per session.

#### ➤ Stakeholder Perspectives

- Judges applauded time-saving dashboards yet complained of inconsistent internet connectivity in rural circuits.
- Clerks valued document automation but advocated structured training and refresher courses.

- Litigants appreciated SMS reminders and transparent scheduling, reporting fewer courthouse visits.

#### ➤ Challenges

The roll-out is stymied by digital-literacy deficits among legacy staff, patchy last-mile connectivity and cultural reluctance to abandon paper files. Budgetary constraints also limit timely hardware upgrades in taluk-level locations.

### VII. ALIGNMENT WITH SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

The initiative reinforces SDG 4 by mandating digital-literacy programmes for court employees; SDG 9 via modern justice infrastructure; and SDG 16 by embedding transparency and efficiency into judicial workflows.

### VIII. CONCLUSION

The Tamil Nadu experience confirms that CCMS, when adequately resourced and embraced by stakeholders, can meaningfully dent backlog and elevate service quality. The gains, though significant, remain fragile in the absence of continuous skills-building and infrastructure modernisation.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- Institute mandatory digital-literacy certification for all judicial cadres;
- Deploy hybrid power-backup and broadband solutions in rural courts;
- Embed user-feedback widgets within the CCMS interface to drive iterative UI/UX improvements;
- Conduct annual performance audits linking budget allocation to digital-efficiency metrics;
- Pilot AI-assisted scheduling tools to auto-prioritise age-old cases.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Banerjee, A., & Duflo, E. (2019). "Good Economics for Hard Times". Public Affairs. Ministry of Law & Justice. (2020).
- [2]. "e-Courts Project Phase II Report". Government of India.
- [3]. Reddy, M. (2022). Digital Courtrooms: Potential and pitfalls. "Indian Journal of Law and Technology, 18" (2), 33–48.
- [4]. Srivastava, A. (2021). Technology and judicial reform in India. "Economic & Political Weekly, 56" (14), 12–17.
- [5]. National Judicial Data Grid. (2023). "Case statistics and dashboard". <https://njdg.ecourts.gov.in>