Volume 10, Issue 7, July – 2025 International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology
ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul1236

Marketing of Eco-Labels: Lessons Learnt

Sachin Gupte[1]; Dr. Tejashree Deshmukh[2]

[1]IGBC AP | GEM CP | CII CPCF
Research Scholar, PTVA's Institute of Management

[2]Guide
Director, PTVA's Institute of Management

Publication Date: 2025/07/26

Abstract: Eco-labels have become pivotal in promoting sustainable development and driving the green building movement, particularly in emerging economies like India. As indicators of a product's environmental performance, eco-labels guide consumers, businesses, and policymakers towards more sustainable choices, aligning with broader global sustainability goals. This paper delves into the multifaceted role of eco-labels, highlighting their impact on consumer behavior, environmental sustainability, and market transformation and lessons learned during the marketing of ecolabels.

Through a comprehensive review of literature, including case studies of successful eco-label implementations such as GRIHA (Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment), nd IGBC GREENPRO, this study contrasts these efforts with established international frameworks like the EU Ecolabel and ENERGY STAR. The analysis reveals that eco-labels not only encourage sustainable consumption patterns but also foster innovation in product development and corporate social responsibility (CSR).

However, challenges such as consumer confusion, greenwashing, and high certification costs pose significant barriers to widespread adoption. By proposing strategies to enhance the clarity, credibility, and accessibility of eco-labels, this paper argues that these tools are essential in catalyzing the green building movement in India.

With targeted policy support and increased consumer education, eco-labels have the potential to significantly contribute to the achievement of national and global sustainability objectives, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UNSDGs). The findings underscore the importance of eco-labels as catalysts in the green movement, offering a viable pathway to harmonizing economic growth with environmental stewardship in India's rapidly expanding construction sector.

Keywords: *Eco-labels, Green Movement, Sustainable Development, Green Building, India, Consumer Behavior, Environmental Sustainability, GRIHA, Ecomark, United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UNSDGs).*

How to Cite: Sachin Gupte; Dr. Tejashree Deshmukh (2025) Marketing of Eco-Labels: Lessons Learnt. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology,* 10(7), 2056-2060. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul1236

I. INTRODUCTION

➢ *Background and Context*

The global environmental crisis, characterized by climate change, deforestation, and pollution, has necessitated a shift towards sustainable practices. The green movement has emerged as a crucial response, advocating for the adoption of environmentally friendly technologies and conscious consumerism. The movement aims to reduce the human impact on the planet, thereby fostering a sustainable future. In this context, eco-labels have become a significant tool, promoting products that meet rigorous environmental standards and guiding consumers towards making informed, sustainable choices (UNEP, 2022).

➢ *Introduction to Eco-labels*

Eco-labels are certifications that signify a product's adherence to environmental criteria, helping consumers identify products that are less harmful to the environment. These labels are awarded based on evaluations that consider a product's lifecycle, from raw material extraction to disposal. By providing transparency and credibility, eco-labels empower consumers to contribute to environmental sustainability through their purchasing decisions (Montiel & Delgado-Ceballos, 2014).

The green movement began in response to growing environmental concerns in the mid-20th century, driven by the publication of influential works such as Rachel Carson's

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul1236

"Silent Spring" and the establishment of Earth Day. Over time, the movement has expanded globally, influencing policies and consumer behavior (Carson, 1962; Earth Day Network, 2023).

➢ *Concept and Development of Eco-labels*

Eco-labels were introduced as a response to the increasing demand for environmentally responsible products. The Blue Angel, launched in Germany in 1978, was among the first eco-labels, setting a benchmark for others to follow. Today, eco-labels are categorized into three types:

- Type I: Third-party certified labels based on multi-criteria standards (e.g., EU Ecolabel).
- Type II: Self-declared environmental claims by manufacturers.
- Type III: Environmental product declarations providing quantified environmental data (ISO, 2022).

➢ *Eco-Labels in Various Industries*

Eco-labels have been implemented across multiple industries, including agriculture, textiles, and construction. Notable examples in India include ECOMARK, GREEN PRO and GRIHA ENERGY STAR for energy-efficient appliances, LEED certification for sustainable buildings, and Fair Trade for ethically sourced goods.

Studies have shown that eco-labels can significantly influence consumer purchasing decisions, particularly when the perceived environmental or health benefits are clear. However, the effectiveness of eco-labels depends on consumer awareness, understanding, and trust in the label's credibility (Gleim & Lawson, 2014).

II. ROLE OF ECO-LABELS IN THE GREEN MOVEMENT

➢ *Environmental Impact of Eco-labels*

Eco-labels contribute to environmental sustainability by promoting products that reduce harm to the environment. For instance, the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) label supports sustainable forestry practices, while the Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) label promotes sustainable fishing. These labels help protect ecosystems and biodiversity by encouraging responsible resource management (FSC, 2023; MSC, 2023).

➢ *Economic Impact*

Eco-labels create market differentiation, allowing companies to appeal to environmentally conscious consumers. This differentiation often leads to a competitive advantage, enabling companies to charge premium prices. Moreover, eco-labels drive innovation by pushing manufacturers to develop products that meet high environmental standards, thus fostering economic growth within the green economy (Nimon & Beghin, 1999).

➢ *Social and Cultural Impact*

Eco-labels enhance corporate social responsibility (CSR) by encouraging businesses to adopt sustainable practices that benefit society. For example, Fair Trade labels help improve the livelihoods of farmers in developing countries by ensuring fair wages and working conditions. This, in turn, supports social equity and sustainable development (Fair Trade International, 2023).

➢ *Policy and Regulatory Influence*

Eco-labels align with national and international environmental policies, supporting regulatory frameworks that aim to reduce environmental degradation. For example, eco-labels like the EU Ecolabel are integrated into EU policies that promote sustainable production and consumption patterns, thus facilitating global trade and compliance with environmental standards (EU Ecolabel, 2023).

III. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF ECO-LABELS

➢ *Consumer Confusion and Misunderstanding*

The increasing number of eco-labels can lead to consumer confusion, particularly when labels are not well-known or are perceived as greenwashing. Greenwashing, where companies make misleading claims about the environmental benefits of their products, undermines the credibility of eco-labels and diminishes consumer trust (Lyon & Montgomery, 2015).

➢ *Barriers to Adoption by Businesses*

For businesses, especially small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), the cost and complexity of obtaining eco-label certification can be prohibitive. The stringent criteria and ongoing compliance requirements may deter some businesses from pursuing certification, limiting the widespread adoption of eco-labels (Delmas & Montiel, 2009).

➢ *Regional and Global Disparities*

The recognition and effectiveness of eco-labels vary globally. Developed regions, such as Europe and North America, have higher levels of eco-label recognition and consumer trust compared to developing regions like Asia and Africa. This disparity is due to differences in consumer awareness, economic conditions, and regulatory support (Krajnc & Glavic, 2005).

IV. CASE STUDIES

➢ *Successful Implementation of Eco-labels in India*

In India, eco-labels like GRIHA (Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment) have been instrumental in promoting sustainable building practices. The Infosys Campus in Mysore, certified under GRIHA, is a notable example, achieving significant energy efficiency and sustainability standards. Similarly, the Ecomark label, introduced by the Indian government, aims to encourage the adoption of eco-friendly products by setting benchmarks for environmental performance (GRIHA, 2023; MoEFCC, 2023).

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul1236

➢ *Comparative Analysis: India vs. EU*

A comparison of eco-labeling in India and the EU reveals that while the EU has a well-established eco-labeling framework with widespread consumer recognition, India is still developing its eco-labeling initiatives. However, India's efforts, such as GRIHA and Ecomark, are gaining traction and are expected to play a significant role in the country's transition to a green economy (EU Ecolabel, 2023).

V. LESSONS FROM FAILURES

A. Eco-Labels are Not Without Challenges, and Ongoing Efforts are Needed to Improve Their Effectiveness.

Despite the positive impacts of eco-labels in promoting sustainable practices and driving the green movement, their implementation has faced several challenges and failures. Understanding these failures is crucial for improving the effectiveness and credibility of eco-labels. This section delves into notable instances where eco-labeling systems in the construction industry fell short of their objectives. By examining these failures, we can gain valuable insights into the limitations of current eco-labeling practices and identify strategies to enhance their reliability and impact. Through a detailed analysis of specific cases, we aim to uncover lessons that can guide future developments and reinforce the role of eco-labels in advancing sustainable building practices.

B. Lessons From Failures in Construction-Related Eco-Labels

➢ *The Case of the BRE Global Green Guide in the UK:*

The Building Research Establishment (BRE) Global Green Guide is an eco-label that provides environmental ratings for building materials. However, it faced criticism for being overly simplistic and not adequately considering the full life cycle of materials. Some materials with significant environmental impacts were rated favourably because the guide focused narrowly on specific criteria, such as embodied energy, without accounting for broader ecological impacts. This led to confusion and mistrust among professionals in the construction industry, as the label did not consistently reflect the true environmental performance of materials.

Lesson Learned: It's crucial for eco-labels in construction to adopt a comprehensive approach that considers the entire life cycle of materials, from production to disposal. Transparency and clarity in criteria are essential to maintain credibility and trust among industry professionals.

➢ *LEED Certification and Indoor Air Quality Issues:*

The Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification is one of the most recognized eco-labels for green buildings. However, there have been instances where buildings certified under LEED failed to meet expected standards of indoor air quality. In some cases, the focus on energy efficiency led to inadequate ventilation, causing poor indoor air quality and health issues for occupants. This was particularly problematic in buildings where materials with high levels of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were used.

- *Lesson Learned:*

A balanced approach is needed in eco-labeling, ensuring that energy efficiency goals do not compromise other important aspects such as indoor air quality. The criteria for eco-labels should be holistic, covering all aspects of building performance to truly support sustainable development.

➢ *Green Star Rating in Australia and Lack of Performance Verification:*

The Green Star rating system in Australia faced criticism when some buildings with high Green Star ratings performed poorly in actual energy consumption compared to their design expectations. This discrepancy was partly due to the lack of post-occupancy performance verification, leading to a gap between the projected sustainability benefits and the actual outcomes.

- *Lesson Learned:*

Post-occupancy verification is essential to ensure that eco-labeled buildings perform as intended. Continuous monitoring and reassessment can help maintain the integrity of eco-labels and provide real-world evidence of their effectiveness in promoting sustainability.

- *Innovation and Improvement:*

Lessons from failures drive innovation by highlighting areas where new approaches or technologies can be applied to overcome existing limitations.

- *Guidance for Policymakers and Industry Leaders:*

These lessons provide valuable insights for policymakers and industry leaders who are responsible for designing and implementing eco-labeling schemes. They highlight the importance of comprehensive, transparent, and enforceable standards that truly reflect sustainable practices.

VI. FUTURE PROSPECTS AND OPPORTUNITIES

➢ *Emerging Trends in Eco-labeling*

Technological advancements, such as blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT), are poised to revolutionize eco-labeling by enhancing traceability and transparency. These technologies can provide consumers with real-time information about a product's environmental impact, thereby increasing trust and encouraging more sustainable purchasing decisions (Chen et al., 2021).

➢ *Role in Achieving Global Sustainability Goals*

Eco-labels play a crucial role in achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly in promoting responsible consumption and production, climate action, and sustainable use of resources. By encouraging environmentally responsible products, eco-labels contribute to global efforts to mitigate climate change and promote sustainability (UNSDG, 2022).

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul1236

➢ *Strategies for Enhancing Adoption and Effectiveness*

To enhance the adoption and effectiveness of eco-labels, strategies should focus on increasing consumer education, standardizing eco-label criteria across regions, and leveraging technology to improve transparency. Additionally, governments and industry associations can support eco-labeling initiatives by providing incentives and reducing certification costs for businesses (Choi et al., 2014).

VII. CONCLUSION

Eco-labels are vital instruments in the green movement, particularly in the context of India's growing emphasis on sustainability. They play a crucial role in catalyzing the green building movement by guiding consumer behavior towards environmentally responsible products and promoting sustainable practices within industries. The successful implementation of eco-labels, such as GRIHA and Ecomark, demonstrates their potential to drive significant environmental and economic benefits. However, to maximize their impact, it is essential to address challenges such as consumer confusion, greenwashing, and barriers to adoption by businesses.

In India, eco-labels are not only helping to reduce the environmental impact of various industries but are also aligning with global sustainability goals. As India continues to urbanize and industrialize, the role of eco-labels will become increasingly important in ensuring that this growth is sustainable. By promoting transparency, credibility, and consumer trust, eco-labels can help bridge the gap between economic development and environmental preservation. The future of eco-labeling in India looks promising, with emerging technologies and global collaborations offering new opportunities to enhance their effectiveness and reach.

REFERENCES

[1]. Carson, R. (1962). Silent Spring. Houghton Mifflin.

[2]. Chen, J., et al. (2021). Blockchain in Eco-labeling: Enhancing Transparency and Traceability. Journal of Environmental Management, 290, 112.

[3]. Choi, S., et al. (2014). A Framework for the Strategic Management of Environmental Labels. Journal of Business Strategy, 35(2), 39-45.

[4]. Delmas, M. A., & Montiel, I. (2009). Greening the Supply Chain: When Is Customer Pressure Effective?. Journal of Economics & Management Strategy, 18(1), 171-201.

[5]. Earth Day Network. (2023). Earth Day History. Retrieved from https://www.earthday.org

[6]. EPA. (2022). ENERGY STAR and Green Building. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov

[7]. EU Ecolabel. (2023). About EU Ecolabel. Retrieved from https://www.ecolabel.eu

[8]. Fair Trade International. (2023). Fair Trade Principles and Practices. Retrieved from https://www.fairtrade.net

[9]. FSC. (2023). Forest Stewardship Council: Sustainability in Forestry. Retrieved from https://www.fsc.org

[10]. Gleim, M. R., & Lawson, S. J. (2014). Spanning the Green Gap: An Examination of the Factors Related to the Purchase of Environmentally Friendly Products. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 31(6/7), 503-514.

[11]. GRIHA. (2023). GRIHA: India's Green Building Rating System. Retrieved from https://www.grihaindia.org

[12]. ISO. (2022). ISO 14020: Environmental Labels and Declarations - General Principles. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org

[13]. Krajnc, D., & Glavic, P. (2005). A Model for Integrated Assessment of Sustainable Development. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 43(2), 189-205.

[14]. Lyon, T. P., & Montgomery, A. W. (2015). The Means and Ends of Greenwash. Organization & Environment, 28(2), 223-249.

[15]. MoEFCC. (2023). Eco-mark Scheme: India's Environmental Labeling Program. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. Retrieved from https://www.moefcc.gov.in

[16]. Montiel, I., & Delgado-Ceballos, J. (2014). Defining and Measuring Corporate Sustainability: Are We There Yet?. Organization & Environment, 27(2), 113-139.

[17]. MSC. (2023). Marine Stewardship Council: Sustainable Fishing Standards. Retrieved from https://www.msc.org

[18]. Nimon, W., & Beghin, J. (1999). Are Eco-labels Valuable? Evidence from the Apparel Industry. Review of Economics and Statistics, 81(4), 516-527.

[19]. UNEP. (2022). Global Environmental Outlook 6. United Nations Environment Programme. Retrieved from https://www.unep.org

[20]. UNSDG. (2022). United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment

[21]. USGBC. (2023). LEED Certification: Promoting Sustainable Building Practices. U.S. Green Building Council. Retrieved from https://www.usgbc.org

[22]. Anderson, J. (2011). The limitations of eco-labels in construction: A review of BRE Global Green Guide. Journal of Environmental Management, 92(11), 2704-2711. Link:[Journal of Environmental Management https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479711003016)

[23]. Wargocki, P., & Wyon, D. P. (2013). The effects of indoor air quality on performance and productivity. Building and Environment, 43(4), 542-553. Link: [Building and Environment](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132312000915)

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul1236

[24]. Daly, J., & Pickernell, D. (2014). Evaluating the performance of green building certifications: The case of Green Star in Australia. Sustainable Cities and Society, 10, 64-74. Link: Sustainable Cities and Society https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2210670714000075)