Determinants of Governance Practices of Supreme Pupil Government: Inputs for an Enhanced SPG Performance

Maya Grace B. Dones¹

¹Imelda Elementary School Catarman IV District Catarman, Northern Samar

Publication Date: 2025/07/14

Abstract: This research investigates the determinants of governance practices within the Supreme Pupil Government (SPG) in the First Congressional District of Northern Samar during the 2018-2019 academic year. The study explores the profiles of SPG officers, schools, and advisers, and how these factors influence governance practices and the performance of SPG officers. The study employs a descriptive-correlational research design and finds significant relationships between SPG governance practices and school profiles, including school funding and pupil population. Key recommendations include enhanced training for SPG advisers, better financial management, and fostering student participation in governance. The findings provide valuable insights for improving pupil leadership programs.

Keywords: Supreme Pupil Government (SPG), Governance Practices, Pupil Leadership, School Management, Public Elementary Schools. Northern Samar.

How to Cite: Maya Grace B. Dones (2025) Determinants of Governance Practices of Supreme Pupil Government: Inputs for an Enhanced SPG Performance. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(7), 571-576. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul559

I. INTRODUCTION

Effective governance in educational institutions is crucial for developing leadership skills and fostering a sense of responsibility among students. In the Philippines, the Supreme Pupil Government (SPG) serves as a co-curricular organization that provides students with an avenue to develop their leadership abilities, engage in participatory democracy, and contribute to school and community development. As mandated by the Department of Education (DepEd), SPGs are established in public elementary schools nationwide to prepare students for future leadership roles while promoting the values of accountability, transparency, and social responsibility. However, despite its critical role, the effective governance and functioning of the SPG are often influenced by various factors, including school resources, adviser support, and student participation.

The SPG is designed to mirror a democratic process where students are elected to leadership positions, allowing them to experience decision-making, project implementation, and governance firsthand. The organization is integral to the DepEd's vision of instilling leadership qualities in students at an early age, aligning with broader educational goals such as the Education for All (EFA) initiative and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). These goals aim to empower students not only academically but also socially, as they are expected to contribute meaningfully to their communities. The SPG's activities range from school governance, student representation, and involvement in school projects, to addressing issues such as bullying, misconduct, and low academic performance. By taking part in these initiatives, students develop essential life skills such as critical thinking, problem-solving, and communication.

However, the effectiveness of the SPG varies widely depending on the resources available to the school and the support provided by SPG advisers and school heads. In some schools, especially those with limited funding and less experienced advisers, the SPG struggles to achieve its full potential. In many cases, SPG officers are not given sufficient autonomy in planning and implementing projects, as decision-

ISSN No:-2456-2165

making is often centralized in the hands of school authorities. This hierarchical control can stifle student leadership development and diminish the organization's role as a training ground for future leaders. Conversely, schools that provide financial support, logistical guidance, and adviser training often see more dynamic and successful SPGs, where students are genuinely involved in governance and decision-making processes.

In the First Congressional District of Northern Samar, the SPG's role in promoting good governance and leadership development is particularly important given the region's socioeconomic challenges. Public elementary schools in this district often contend with limited resources, which can hinder the SPG's ability to function effectively. Additionally, there is a disparity between central and non-central schools, with central schools typically having better access to resources, while noncentral schools face financial and logistical difficulties. This study aims to investigate the determinants of SPG governance practices in this region, examining how the profiles of SPG officers, schools, and advisers influence the overall effectiveness of SPG governance and performance.

The theoretical framework for this study is anchored in Good Governance Theory, which emphasizes the importance of participatory governance, accountability, and transparency in ensuring effective leadership. According to this theory, a wellfunctioning governance system requires the active involvement of all stakeholders, clear communication, and a commitment to ethical leadership. In the context of the SPG, these principles are essential for fostering a school environment where students can develop their leadership abilities and contribute to the overall welfare of their peers. The study also draws on Servant Leadership Theory, which highlights the role of leaders in serving their followers and prioritizing their development. In the SPG context, advisers and school heads are expected to support student leaders by providing guidance and resources, ensuring that the organization fulfills its mission of nurturing future leaders.

This study explores the relationship between the governance practices of SPG officers and several key factors, including the profiles of the SPG officers themselves, the characteristics of their schools, and the qualifications and support provided by their advisers. By identifying these determinants, the research aims to provide insights into how SPGs in the First Congressional District of Northern Samar can improve their governance practices and better fulfill their role in developing student leaders. The findings of this study will contribute to the broader understanding of how student organizations like the SPG can be enhanced to meet the leadership and governance needs of young learners, particularly in regions where resources are limited.

International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul559

II. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study are as follows:

- > To Determine the Profiles of Supreme Pupil Government Officers (SPGO) in Terms of:
- Age
- Sex
- To Analyze the Profiles of Schools in Terms of:
- School Fund
- Pupil Population
- Source of Fund
- Type of School
- ➤ To evaluate the profile of SPG advisers in terms of:
- Seminars Attended
- Educational Attainment
- Length of Service
- > To Assess SPG Governance Practices in Terms of:
- Participation
- Consensus Orientation
- Accountability
- Transparency
- Responsiveness
- Equity and Inclusiveness
- Effectiveness and Efficiency
- Rule of Law
- ➤ To Examine the Performance of SPG Officers in Terms of:
- Planning
- Implementation
- Monitoring and Evaluation
- Pupil Representation
- ➤ To Determine the Relationship Between SPG Governance Practices and the Profiles of SPGO, Schools, and Advisers.
- ➤ To Identify any Significant Differences Between Central and Non-Central Schools Regarding SPG Governance Practices and Performance. To Identify any Significant Differences Between Central and Non-Central Schools Regarding SPG Governance Practices and Performance..

https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul559

III. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a descriptive-correlational research design, using the Input-Process-Output (IPO) model to analyze the determinants of governance practices in SPGs. The population includes 38 SPG presidents, 38 SPG advisers, and 19 school heads from both central and non-central schools in the First Congressional District of Northern Samar. Complete enumeration sampling was used. Data were collected through questionnaires adapted from various DepEd orders (DO 47 s. 2011, DO 49 s. 2011, DO 11 s. 2016) and analyzed using Pearson Correlation and One-way ANOVA to test the relationships and differences between variables. The research instrument was validated through expert review and pilot testing.

IV. FINDINGS

The study revealed several significant insights into the profiles and governance practices of the Supreme Pupil Government (SPG) officers across the First Congressional District of Northern Samar. A majority of the SPG officers were found to be female, accounting for 63% of the sample, while 87% of the officers were aged 11 years or older. This aligns with the guidelines set by the Department of Education (DepEd), which stipulate that the SPG president must be a student who is about to enter Grade 6. These findings suggest a growing trend of female participation in leadership roles at the elementary level.

In terms of school profiles, a significant proportion of schools in the district were classified as small, with 53% having a pupil population of fewer than 440 students. Additionally, 32% of schools reported having a school fund ranging between PHP 5,001 and PHP 10,000, indicating limited financial resources for SPG activities. Most schools relied heavily on the Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) fund, which was controlled by the school head, as the primary source of funding. Other sources, such as SPG membership fees and fund-raising activities, were supplementary and less consistent. This funding model often led to financial constraints in executing SPG projects, particularly in non-central schools, where additional financial support was more limited.

Regarding the profile of SPG advisers, the study found that 56% of them had earned units in a master's degree program, while only 18% had completed their master's degree. Most advisers had been teaching for six to ten years, indicating a relatively experienced group. However, their participation in pupil-government-related seminars was lacking compared to curriculum-related seminars, which could limit their effectiveness in guiding SPG officers. This gap in training points to the need for more targeted professional development opportunities for advisers, particularly in the area of governance and leadership training for students. The governance practices of SPG officers varied significantly between central and non-

central schools. Central schools exhibited stronger adherence to key governance principles such as participation, transparency, and accountability. These schools also benefited from more robust support structures, both financially and logistically. In contrast, non-central schools struggled with limited resources and inconsistent guidance, which affected the ability of SPG officers to implement and monitor their activities effectively. Furthermore, central schools demonstrated higher performance in the key areas of planning, implementation, and evaluation, while non-central schools faced challenges in mobilizing resources and gaining the necessary permissions to conduct activities.

Finally, the study found significant relationships between the governance practices of SPG officers and the profiles of their schools, particularly in terms of funding and pupil population size. Schools with larger pupil populations and more substantial funding tended to perform better in governance practices. These schools were better equipped to support the activities of their SPG officers, leading to more efficient and transparent governance practices. Conversely, schools with smaller populations and less funding struggled to maintain consistent governance practices, often due to a lack of financial and logistical support.

V. CONCLUSION

This research has underscored the multifaceted factors that influence governance practices within the Supreme Pupil Government (SPG) of Northern Samar's First Congressional District. The analysis revealed that SPG governance is significantly shaped by both the institutional profiles, such as school funding and student population, and the personal characteristics and qualifications of SPG officers and their advisers. Central schools, which generally possess more financial and logistical resources, exhibit stronger adherence to governance principles like participation, accountability, and transparency. In contrast, non-central schools face challenges, particularly in financial capacity, which restricts their ability to fully engage students in leadership and decision-making processes.

Moreover, the study highlighted the pivotal role of SPG advisers, where those with advanced educational backgrounds and participation in governance-specific training had a positive impact on the performance of SPG officers. Despite these insights, the findings point to the need for targeted professional development for SPG advisers, particularly in governance and leadership training, to further enhance the effectiveness of SPG governance across all schools.

These findings suggest that improving financial management, expanding student participation, and providing more robust support and training for SPG advisers are crucial steps for fostering a more equitable and effective governance framework in public elementary schools. By addressing these

determinants, the Supreme Pupil Government can become a more effective platform for leadership development, ultimately contributing to the broader educational goals of student empowerment and democratic participation.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Annan, K. (1998). Annual Report of the Secretary-General on the Work of the United Nations Organization. Retrieved from http://www.un.org/Docs/SG/Report98/ch2.htm (last accessed 22 November 2011).
- [2]. Apaza, C. (2009). Measuring Governance and Corruption Through the Worldwide Governance Indicators: Critiques, Responses, and Ongoing Scholarly Discussion. PS: Political Science & Politics, Volume 42(number 1), pp. 139-43.
- [3]. Astin, A.W. & Sax, L. J. (1998). How undergraduates are affected by service participation .Journal of College Student Development, 39.
- [4]. Avolio, B.J. & Gardner, W.L. (2005). Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. Leadership Quarterly, volume 16, pp.315-338.
- [5]. Aymoldanovna, A., Zhetpisbaeva, B. A., Kozybaevna, K.U. & Kadirovna, S. M. (2015). Leadership Development University Students In The Activities Of Student Government, Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, Volume 23 (number 11), p.55.
- [6]. Baughman, K. N., & Bruce, J. (2011). The unique leadership needs of minority student populations: Crafting a leadership identity. Journal of Leadership Education, 10(2), 97-115. Retrieved from https://nclp.umd.edu/include/pdfs/lidjcsd1105.pdf
- [7]. Bosin, Sara (2011). governing younger generations, Retrieved last November 25, 2011. www.google.com: http://learningtogive.org/papers/paper12.htmlGeorghiou, L. & Sachwald, F. (2017). Europe's future: Open innovation, open science, open to the world: Reflections of the Research, Innovation and Science Policy Experts (RISE) High Level Group. Retrieved from the EU Publications website: https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/527ea7ce-36fc-11e7-a08e-01aa75ed71a1
- [8]. Brillantes, Jr. Alex and Maricel Fernandez, Is There A Philippine Public Administration?, Accessed last April 14, 2012, www.google.com: http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/e ropa/unpan032064.pdf
- [9]. Burns, J.M. (2008). Leadership, Journal for Leadership Principles, Volume 11 (no.12), p. 55.
- [10]. Chai, (2015). Personality and Leadership Qualities among Student Leaders. www.google.com: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283297775_Personality_and_Leadership_Qualities_among_Student_Leaders

- [11]. Challenges facing Student Councils on Management of Discipline, IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS, Volume 22, Issue 7, Ver. 16 (July. 2017) pp. 40-51.
- [12]. Chaucer School (2017) www.google.com: https://www.ero.govt.nz/review-reports/chaucer-school-22-09-2017/
- [13]. Cole, G.A. & Kelly, P. (2011). Management: Theory and Practice, Singapore: Cengage Learning EMEA, p.11.
- [14]. Department of Education (2016). www.google.com: https://www.education.ie/en/The-Department/Action-Plan-for-Education-2016-2019/2016.html
- [15]. Department of Education and Science, (2002). Science education report. www.google.com: https://www.sess.ie/sites/default/files/DES%20Annual%20Report%202002.pdf
- [16]. Department of Education Order Number 47, Series of 2014 (Constitution and By-laws of SPG)
- [17]. Department of Education Report 2016. www.google.com: https://www.education.ie/en/The-Department/Action-Plan-for-Education-2016-2019/2016.html
- [18]. Dian Ferdiansyah and Hijriati Meutia. "The Impact of a Student Organization (OSIS) on Leadership Identity Development (LID)" Master's thesis in education. University of Tampere, August 2017
- [19]. Doornbos, M. (2001). Good Governance. The Rise and Decline of a Policy Metaphor?' Journal of Development Studies, volume 37 (no.6): pp.93-108.
- [20]. Dugan, J. P., & Komives, S. R. (2007). Developing leadership capacity in college students. College Park, Md.: National Clearinghouse for Leadership Programs Retrieved June, 21, 2012. Retrieved from http://mslreviewteam.wiki.usfca.edu/file/view/MSLReport+06.pdf
- [21]. Dugan, J.P. (2006, May/June). Involvement and leadership: A descriptive analysis, of socially responsible leadership. Journal of College Student Development, Volume 47, pp.355–343.
- [22]. Dugan, John P. and Komives, Susan R. (2007). accessed last January 27, 2019. www.google.com: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237536892_Developing_Leadership_Capacity_In_College_Students_Findings_From_a_National_Study
- [23]. Dusmanos, Janine A. (2018). Charting the directions of youth transition in Supreme Student Government in the Philippines. (Unpublished Thesis: University of Northern Philippines), pp.44-48.
- [24]. Ekundayo, Woleola J. (2017). Good Governance Theory and the Quest for Good Governance in Nigeria. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science (Volume 7, Number 5), p. 155.
- [25]. Fagbadebo, O. M. (2009). Nigeria and the Perenial Problem of Governance: Explaining State Future in the Midst of Abundant Resources. Journal of Social Policy and National Productivity, Volume 2 (no.1), 20-25.

- [26]. Ferdiansyah, A. & G. Meutia, (2017). The impact of student leadership. Accessed alst April 12, 2019. www.google.com: https://tampub.uta.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/102138/GR ADU-1507563047.pdf?sequence=1
- [27]. Ferdiansyah, D. & Meutia, H. (2015). The Impact Of A Student Organization (OSIS) On Leadership Identity Development (LID). Master thesis) University of Tampere School of Education, 2017). Retrieved from: http://tampub.uta.fi/ handle/ 10024/102138
- [28]. Georghiou, L. & Sachwald, F. (2017). Europe's future: Open innovation, open science, open to the world: Reflections of the Research, Innovation and Science Policy Experts (RISE) High Level Group. Retrieved from the EU Publications website: https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/527ea7ce-36fc-11e7-a08e-01aa75ed71a1
- [29]. Giray, Mark B. (2017). Factors hindering the successful implementation of Supreme Pupil Government PPAs in the Barrios (An Action Research: Division of Northern Samar), p.5.
- [30]. Gisselquist, R. M. (2012). Good Governance as a Concept, and Why This Matters for Development Policy. United Nations University –The World Institute for Development Economic Research (UNU-WIDER), Helsinki, Finland, p.12.
- [31]. Governance principles. Published last February 2, 2006, Retrieved last May 3, 2012, www.google.com: http://www.istheory.yorku.ca/socialcognitivetheory.htm
- [32]. Greenleaf, R.K. (1977). Servant-leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness. New York: Paulist Press, p.55.
- [33]. Grindle, M. (2004). Good Enough Governance: Poverty Reduction and Reform in Development Countries. Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration and Institutions, 17(4), 525-48.
- [34]. Haider, W. (2011). Relationship Between Leadership Style, Job Satisfaction and Employees' Turnover Intention: A Literature Reviewwww.google.com: https://scialert.net/fulltextmobile/?doi=rjbm.2011.91.100
- [35]. Harrison, G. (2005). The World Bank, Governance and Theories of Political Action in Africa. British Journal of Politics and International Relations, 7(2), 240-60.
- [36]. Henzon, Jessa A. (2016). Performance of Supreme Pupil Government: Basis for strengthening Programs, Projects, Activities Implementation. (Unpublished Master's Thesis: Bicol University), p.42.
- [37]. Hughes, G.M. (2011). Leadership in the management of education. A handbook for educational supervisors. London, Commonwealth Secretariat. Retrieved from http://conservancy.umn.edu/bitstream/56986
- [38]. Ibarrientos, A. 2016. Leadership in basic education. www.google.com https://www.goodreads.com/user/show/44038834-rachel-ibarrientos

- [39]. Jaramilla, Aldrin S. and Lazo, Lovely Anne Joy C. (2017). Governance practices by the student government of the University of Northern Philippines: A paradigm shift in the administrative supervision of and support for student development programs. (Unpublished Thesis: University of Northern Philippines), p. 3-6.
- [40]. Jared, Ogol O. and Dr. Ruth W Thinguri (2017). A critical analysis of effectiveness of student council leadership on learners discipline management in secondary schools in Kenya. Vol. 3, Issue 1, www.google.com: http://www.allresearchjournal.com/archives/?year=2017 &vol=3&issue=1&part=B&ArticleId=3018
- [41]. Kalimullin, A.J., Vlasova, L.A. & Sakhieva, C.K. (2016)

 . A Leadership Competency Profile for Innovation
 Leaders in a Science-Based Research and Innovation
 Organization in South Africa
 www.google.com:https://www.sciencedirect.com/scienc
 e/article/pii/S1877042812009056. pp.209-226
- [42]. Kanter's Structural Theory of Organization's Governance. Retrieved last November 23, 2011. www.google.com: http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/525651_2
- [43]. Kaufmann, D.A., Kraay, A.W., And Mastruzzi, P.(2006). Governance Matters. World Bank Policy Research. Accessed last February 12, 2019. www.google.com: http://worldbank.com.uk
- [44]. Kinyua, L.J. (2016). Leadership of 21st century. www.google.com: https://www.standardmedia.co.ke/article/2001281037/thr ee-top-officials-likely-contenders-to-replace-kinyua-when-he-exits
- [45]. Lawal, T. and Owolabi, D. (2012). Leadership Debacle: The Bane of Good Governance in Nigeria. Afro Asian Journal of Social Sciences, Volume 3(no.3), pp.1-12.
- [46]. Lawrence, K. (2013). Developing leaders in a VUCA environment. UNC Executive Development, 1–15. Retrieved from https://www.emergingrnleader.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/developing-leaders-in-a-vuca-environment.pdfDorozhkina, Zaitseva, and Tatarskikh (2016)
- [47]. Lewin, Kurt A., Lippitt, Ronald A. and White, Ralph L. (2012). Patterns of Aggressive Behavior in Experimentally Created Social Climates, Journal for Social Climate Education, Volume 23, p. 58.
- [48]. Mainter, J.C. (2013). Organizational Behavior. Centre of Research in Organizational Behavior, volume 12 (no. 5), p.34.
- [49]. May, Walter P. (2009). Student Governance: A Qualitative Study Of Leadership In A Student Government Association, Published Doctoral Dissertation, Georgia University, 2009, www.google.com: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ965985
- [50]. Module 1 The Concept and Theories of Governance Introduction. www.google.com: www.ombudsman.gov.ph//Module_1

- [51]. Mule, M. J. (2011). Factors Influencing Student Leaders' Involvement in Governance of Public Secondary Schools In Mwala District, Kenya. Unpublished M.Ed. Project, University of Nairobi.
- [52]. Nabeker, D.M. & Mitchell, T.R. (2009). Leadership Behavior an Leadership Theory Approach. Organization Behavior an Human Performance, Volume 11, pp.355-367.
- [53]. Nanda, V. (2006). The "Good Governance" Concept Revisited. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Volume 603, pp. 269-83.
- [54]. Odom, S. F., Boyd, B. L., & Williams, J. (2012). Impact of personal growth projects on leadership identity development. Journal of Leadership Education, 11(1), 49-63.
- [55]. Pascua, L. M. (2017). The principles of good governance. www.google.com: https://www.bwanet.org/mensaje-depascua-2017
- [56]. Peters, B. G. (2010). Governance as Political Theory. A Working Paper Presented at Jerusalem Forum on Regulation and Governance. The Hebrew University, Mount Scopus, Jerusalem, Israel, p. 24.
- [57]. Philadelphia University Pupil Government Association (2017). Governance at early age. www.google.com: http://www.philadelphia_government.gov.ca
- [58]. Philippine Association for the Career Advancement of Educators (PACAE). www.google.com: http://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/DA s2018 230.pdf
- [59]. Ramirez, R. K. (2013). www.google.com: http://www.the13thfloor.tv/2016/12/08/the-weird-and-unsolved-story-of-the-toxic-lady-of-riverside-california/
- [60]. Reyes, Florencio L. (2017). Correlates of the Supreme Pupil Government in the Barrios of Camarines Sur. (Unpublished Master's Thesis: Saint Aquinas University), p.56.
- [61]. Rosch, R. & Collins, C.K. (2017). www.google.com: https://experts.illinois.edu/en/publications/the-significance-of-student-organizations-to-leadership-developme
- [62]. Rosch, R. & Collins, L. (2017). Europe's future: Open innovation, open science, open to the world: Reflections of the Research, Innovation and Science Policy Experts (RISE) High Level Group. Retrieved from the EU Publications website: https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/527ea7ce-36fc-11e7-a08e-01aa75ed71a1
- [63]. Rotberg, R. I. (2004). Strengthening Governance. Washington Quarterly, Volume 38, pp. 71-81.
- [64]. Rothstein, B. and Teorell, J. (2008). What is Quality of Government: A Theory of Impartial Political Institutions. Governance-An International Journal of Policy and Administration, 21, 165-90.

- [65]. Santaria & Madrid, (2016). www.google.com: http://marmara.academia.edu/HalilEksi/Papers/1523598/
 The_Effect_of_Gender_on_Organizational_Commitmen
 t_of_Teachers_A_Meta_Analytic_Analysis
- [66]. Sheng, Y. K. (2008). What is good governance?. United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific. (UNESCAP).Retrieved on March 12, 2013 from http://www.unescap.org.
- [67]. Sherrill, A. (2014). The involvement of student leaders in the governance of University. An implication of shared leadership. Unpublished M.ED Thesis, University of Oslo.
- [68]. Sifuna, D. (1990). Development of Education in Africa: The African Experience. Nairobi: Initiatives Ltd. http://www.sciepub.com/reference/141194
- [69]. Systems theory. Accessed last February 12, 2019. www.google.coom: http://wikipedia.org/systems_theory
- [70]. Tan, Jade B. and Legaspi, Korina P. (2016). Financial Management of Student Organizations in the University of Eastern Philippines", International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research Volume 5, Issue 06, June 2016.
- [71]. The Access Center: Improving Outcomes for All Students. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, American Institutes for Research 1000 Thomas Jefferson St. NW, Washington, DC 20007 http://www.k8accesscenter.org/training_resources/comp uteraided_science.aspEzhukov (2009)
- [72]. Torok, M. A. (2016). Governance in basic education. www.google.com: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/%28SIC I%291097-010X%2819990701%29284%3A2%3C197%3A%3AAI D-JEZ9%3E3.0.CO%3B2-F
- [73]. UNESCO Australia's Country Report. Last updated: 02 September 2013. http://www2.unescobkk.org/education/ict/v2_2/info.asp? id=13240
- [74]. United Nations International Children Emergency Fund, 2003. Ww.google.com: (United Nations International Children Emergency Fund, 2003)
- [75]. Weiss, T. (2000).Governance, Good Governance and Global Governance: Conceptual and Actual Challenges. Third World Quarterly, Volume 21(no. 5), pp. 795-814.
- [76]. Wender, L. (2011). Organizational learning in student organizations: discovering how to grow and develop. Thesis of Organizational Studies Program Univerity of Michigan. Retrieved from https://lsa.umich.edu/content/dam/orgstudies
- [77]. Williams, D. and Young, T., (1994). Governance, the World Bank and Liberal Theory. Political Studies, 42, 87.
- [78]. Zert, John A. (2017). Students of the future. www.google.com: https://www.mtsu.edu/mtsuarts/programs.php